

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 399 546

CS 215 471

AUTHOR Farrell, John Thomas  
TITLE The Writing Center Professional and Graduate Students: Developing an Ethical Paradigm.  
PUB DATE Mar 96  
NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Conference on College Composition and Communication (47th, Milwaukee, WI, March 27-30, 1996).  
PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)  
  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Codes of Ethics; \*Consultants; Higher Education; \*Teacher Student Relationship; Tutoring; Tutors; Writing (Composition); \*Writing Instruction; \*Writing Laboratories  
IDENTIFIERS Aristotle; \*Professional Ethics

## ABSTRACT

The "consultant" model for the writing center professional is especially appropriate when graduate students are the ones seeking assistance with their writing. For many academicians with backgrounds in the humanities and liberal arts, "consulting" is a term fraught with mystery. Many have never "consulted" and are not entirely clear what a consultant does. Much has been written on the subject of consulting ethics. The American Association of Professional Writing Consultants (APWC) developed a code of ethics in the mid-1980s and June Gallessich has published one in her book "The Professional Practice of Consultation." She provides a detailed discussion of 28 principles of consulting ethics, some of which could apply to the development of ethical, adult relationships between writing center professionals and graduate students. The consultant model for the writing center professional may also be developed along Aristotelian lines. In the Aristotelian scheme, the writing center professional would be considered as a "useful" friend, which is one of three types of friends Aristotle defined. (TB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Writing Centers and Graduate Education****Marriott/London Room, Lower Level****9:30-10:45 a.m.**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*J. Farrell*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

**The Writing Center Professional and  
Graduate Students: Developing An Ethical Paradigm**

**John Thomas Farrell**

**Drexel University**

Some time ago I wrote a paper where I discussed some of the challenges to writing centers posed by graduate students.<sup>1</sup> At that time I was concerned that many writing center professionals worked under the unexamined belief that the dynamics of tutoring graduate students were essentially the same as tutoring undergraduates—acknowledging perhaps that graduate students were a bit older and a bit brighter than undergraduates. Accordingly, I attempted to initiate a dialogue concerning the specialized issues graduate students bring to the writing center, focusing on the differences between graduate students and undergraduates in terms of their motivation for writing, their background in writing, and their attitude toward writing.

My conclusion in that paper was that writing center professionals establish adult, professional relationships with graduate students—rejecting both the traditional student/teacher model and the more current collaborative writing teaching model used in the writing center. And my answer on how to go about achieving that end was the formulation of a “consultant” model for the writing

<sup>1</sup> “Some of the Challenges to Writing Centers Posed by Graduate Students, *Proceedings of the Mid-Atlantic Writing Centers Association*, 3 (1991) 41-46.

CS 215471

center professional. This morning, I would like to pick up where I left off in my previous paper and discuss the development of an ethical paradigm for the relationship between writing center professionals using a consultant model and the graduate students who use the services of the writing center.

For many academicians with backgrounds in the humanities and liberal arts, “consulting” is a term fraught with mystery. Many of us have never consulted and are not entirely clear what a consultant does exactly. Some of us consider consulting to be associated with a morally suspect corporate system. And quite a few of us are uneasy with a term, to use Dwight Stevenson’s definition, that refers to “communication-related, income-producing activities carried out in business, industry, and government.”<sup>2</sup>

There appears to be some research to bear out the aloofness of the humanities from the practice of professional consulting. For instance, Marver and Patton have observed that although 20% of all American academics are in the liberal arts, this number only accounts for 11% of all consulting work done by academicians.<sup>3</sup> Yet there’s an interesting twist to this statistic: while it is true that academics in the liberal arts consult less frequently than their colleagues in other disciplines, other researchers have suggested that the proportion of academic writing specialists who consult may be far higher than the low general liberal arts figure and that, “at least for some writing teachers, consulting is a familiar and frequent activity.”<sup>4</sup> As a

---

<sup>2</sup> Dwight Stevenson, “The Writing Teacher in the Workplace: Some Questions and Answers about Consulting,” *Writing in Nonacademic Settings*, ed. Lee Odell and Dixie Goswami (New York: Guilford, 1985), p. 347.

<sup>3</sup> J.D. Marver and C. V. Patton, “The Correlates of Consultation: American Academics in the “Real World,”” *Journal of Higher Education*, 5 (1976), p. 325.

<sup>4</sup> Dwight Stevenson, “Evaluation Technical Communication Faculty: Some Empirically-Based Criteria and Guidelines,” *Resources in Education* (September 1985).

matter of fact, in recognition of this high level of activity, the American Association of Professional Writing Consultants (APWC) was formed in 1981 as an outgrowth of interactions among consultants at the Conference on College Composition and Communication. So, I would argue that consulting is hardly a model for us to disdain.

I am not suggesting that everyone here promptly become a working consultant. I am suggesting, however, that the “consultant” is an appropriate, recognizable, and effective model for writing center professionals to use when establishing professional relationships with their adult graduate students. The real question I am raising this morning is just what shape a consultant model would take in the writing center—specifically, how would it operate ethically.

Much has been written on the subject of consulting ethics in general, by the way. Most professional consulting organizations publish codes that, while strictly voluntary, offer standards for their members to follow. The APWC, for instance, developed a Code of Ethics in the mid-1980s. A useful synthesis of professional codes can be found in June Gallessich’s work, *The Profession and Practice of Consultation*. There she provides a detailed discussion of the twenty-eight principles of consulting ethics, some of which—in conjunction with the more specific APWC Code—could certainly apply in the development of ethical, adult relationships between writing center professionals and graduate students. Those principles particularly helpful to writing center professionals would include the following:

- Consultants are responsible for safeguarding the welfare of their client

organizations.

- Consultants present their professional qualifications and limitations accurately.
- Consultants do not make unrealistic promises about the benefits of their services.
- Consultants avoid manipulating consultees and instead seek to increase their independence and freedom of choice.
- Consultants establish clear contracts with well-defined parameters.
- Consultants seek to maintain the highest standard of competence in their profession, keeping abreast of new theoretical, empirical, and technical developments that are related to consultation.
- Consultants know their professional strengths, weaknesses, and biases; they avoid allowing their particular professional “sets” to distort their approaches to the needs of their clients.
- Consultants are aware of personal characteristics which predispose them to systematic biases—issues of age, ethnic origin, sex, and social status that may distort their perceptions and recommendations.
- Consultants regularly assess their strengths and weaknesses.
- Consultants cooperate with other consultants and with members of other professions.<sup>5</sup>

The consultant model for the writing center professional may be also constructed along Aristotelian lines. 2,500 years ago Aristotle identified three distinctive varieties of friendship. In his *Nicomachean Ethics* he listed (1)

---

<sup>5</sup> June Gallessich, *The Practice and Profession of Consultation: A Handbook for Consultants, Trainers of Consultants, and Consumers of Consultation Services*, (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass).

friendship based on utility, (2) friendship based on pleasure, and (3) friendship based on idealism. The writing center professional should be counted among life's "useful" friends.

"Useful" friends—consultants and mentors, if you will—help people get what they want. Indeed, most professional relationships are bound to be "useful" friendships in this sense. This is not to criticize them and any facile condemnation of "using people" does not apply here. Sometimes it is quite clear when someone is "using us" and, if the cost is negligible, we often do not mind; particularly, if it gives us the feeling of being "useful." Tutors who help doctoral students outline their dissertations, who help law students through the intricacies of writing briefs, medical students through the rudiments of case histories should not feel "used," except in the sense that their position is of use to others and such help is valued and highly regarded.

But most of the time, the writing center professional/graduate student relationship is mutually useful, as is all good consultant work is. As writing center professionals, we are paid for interesting and stimulating work. We are given rich opportunities to learn from the experience of others. And we are provided with a steady supply of examples to be used in our research, teaching, and publication. All of these are among the rewards of being a successful consultant.

CS215471

Would you like to put your paper in ERIC? Please send us a dark, clean copy!



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

### I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Title: Paper presented at the 1995 4C's Meeting (Washington, DC)   |  |
| ESTABLISHING ETHICAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH STUDENTS<br>Author(s): JOHN THOMAS FARRELL IN THE WAITING CENTER |  |
| Corporate Source:  | Publication Date:<br>March 23-25, 1995 |

### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



#### Check here

Permitting  
microfiche  
(4"x 6" film),  
paper copy,  
electronic,  
and optical media  
reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sample  
\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER  
COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sample  
\_\_\_\_\_

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

#### or here

Permitting  
reproduction  
in other than  
paper copy.

### Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| "I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries." |   |
| Signature:   | Position: CHAPLAIN                          |
| Printed Name: JOHN THOMAS FARRELL  | Organization: ST. MARTIN'S EPISCOPAL SCHOOL |
| Address: 330 LOWERLINE STREET<br>NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118   | Telephone Number: (504) 736.9940            |
|  | Date: 9/24/96                               |

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).


|                        |                 |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Publisher/Distributor: |                 |
| Address:               |                 |
| Price Per Copy:        | Quantity Price: |

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

|   |
|---|
| Name and address of current copyright/reproduction rights holder: |
| Name:   |
| Address:  |

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

|  |
|--|
| Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:  |
| <div><b>ERIC</b> Clearinghouse<br/>on Reading, English, and Communication<br/>Indiana University<br/>2805 E. 10th Street, Suite 150<br/>Bloomington, IN 47408-2698<br/>(812) 855-5847</div> |

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

~~ERIC Facility~~  
~~1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 300~~  
~~Rockville, Maryland 20850-4306~~  
~~Telephone: (301) 261-5500~~